

City of Berkeley

Berkeley, California

Single Audit Report

For the year ended June 30, 2025

City of Berkeley Single Audit Report

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.....	7
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.....	10
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.....	11
Supplemental Schedule - Supplemental Statement of Revenue and Expenditures ALN 93.569 (24F-3001).....	16
Supplemental Schedule - Supplemental Statement of Revenue and Expenditures ALN 93.569 (24F-3001 Discretionary).....	17

**REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND
OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
of the Berkeley, City of
Berkeley, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of City of Berkeley, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 31, 2025.

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements, on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council
of the City of Berkeley
Berkeley, California
Page 2

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Badawi & Associates".

Badawi & Associates, CPAs
Emeryville, California
December 31, 2025

**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM
AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council
of the City of Berkeley
Berkeley, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Berkeley, California (City)'s compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the OMB *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2025. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2025.

Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the City's federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the City's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the City's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council
of the City of Berkeley
Berkeley, California
Page 3


Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that have not been identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Other Supplementary Schedules

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 31, 2025, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards and other supplementary schedules on pages 16-17 are presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and pass-through entities and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards and other supplementary schedules on pages 16-17 are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.



Badawi & Associates, CPAs
Emeryville, California
March 27, 2026

This page intentionally left blank

City of Berkeley
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
For the year ended June 30, 2025

Grantor Agency and Grant Title	Assistance Listing Number	Federal Award/ Pass-through Number	Federal Expenditures	Subrecipient Payments
U.S. Department of Agriculture:				
Pass-through State Department of Health Services:				
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children	10.557	22-10233	\$ 796,865	\$ -
		Subtotal ALN 10.557	796,865	-
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			796,865	-
U.S. Department of Commerce:				
Economic Development Cluster				
Direct Program:				
COVID-19 Business Economic Development Administration Revolving Loan Fund	11.307	07-79-07605	620,238	-
		Subtotal Economic Development Cluster	620,238	-
Total U.S. Department of Commerce			620,238	-
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development:				
CDBG - Entitlement Grants Cluster				
Direct Programs:				
Community Development Block Grant	14.218	B-22-MC-06-0008	3,823,090	1,113,733
Community Development Block Grant - Program Income	14.218	B-22-MC-06-0008	75,021	-
		CDBG - Entitlement Grants Cluster	3,898,111	1,113,733
Direct Programs:				
Continuum of Care Program	14.267	CA0/49L91022112/COACH, CA0116L9T022114/SHC	6,376,984	-
Pass-through Alameda County:				
Continuum of Care Program	14.267	C - 23726	799,850	-
		Subtotal ALN 14.267	7,176,834	-
Direct Programs:				
Emergency Solutions Grant Program	14.231	E-22-MC-06-0008	233,344	213,442
		Subtotal ALN 14.231	233,344	213,442
Direct Programs:				
Home Investment in Affordable Housing	14.239	M24MC060202	433,251	-
Home Investment in Affordable Housing (Program Income)	14.239	M24MC060202	319,377	-
		Subtotal ALN 14.239	752,628	-
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			12,060,917	1,327,175
U.S. Department of Justice				
Pass-through Alameda County Sheriff's Office				
FY22 Justice Assistance Grant	16.738	15PBJA-22-GG-02093-JAGX	24,055	-
FY23 Justice Assistance Grant	16.738	15PBJA-23-GG-03188-JAGX	43,138	-
		Subtotal ALN 16.738	67,193	-
Total U.S. Department of Justice			67,193	-
U.S. Department of Transportation:				
Pass-through the State Department of Transportation:				
goBerkeley Residential Shared Parking Pilot	20.205	04-5057F15-F031-ISTEA	4,779	-
Pass-through Association of Bay Area Governments and MTC:				
Southside Complete Streets	20.205	04-5057F15-F034-ISTEA	3,895,947	-
		Subtotal ALN 20.205	3,900,726	-

City of Berkeley
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
For the year ended June 30, 2025

Grantor Agency and Grant Title	Assistance Listing Number	Federal Award/ Pass-through Number	Federal Expenditures	Subrecipient Payments
Highway Safety Cluster				
Pass-Through the State of California - Office of Traffic Safety:				
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program	20.600	PT24016	23,483	-
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program	20.600	PT25142	54,786	-
		Subtotal Highway Safety Cluster	78,269	-
Pass-Through the State of California - Office of Traffic Safety:				
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program	20.611	PT25142	248	-
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program	20.608	PT24016	27,986	-
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program	20.608	PT25142	57,764	-
		Subtotal ALN 20.608	85,750	-
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			4,064,993	-
U.S. Department of Treasury:				
Pass-Through the State of California - Department of Finance:				
COVID-19 Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds	21.027	Not available	2,899,703	-
Total U.S. Department of Treasury			2,899,703	-
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services:				
Aging Cluster				
Pass-Through County of Alameda Area Agency on Aging:				
Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part C - Nutrition Services	93.045	900161	159,220	-
Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part B - Senior Center Activities	93.044	900161	13,259	-
		Aging Cluster Total	172,479	-
Medicaid Cluster				
Pass-Through Alameda County Children & Family Services:				
Services to Enhance Early Development	93.778	900161	198,967	-
		Medicaid Cluster Total	198,967	-
Pass-Through State Department of Health Services:				
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant	93.994	202459	313,269	-
Public Health Emergency Preparedness: CDC Base Allocation	93.069	22-10639	154,036	-
Emergency Preparedness-Cities Readiness Initiative (CRI)	93.069	22-10639	32,371	-
		Subtotal ALN 93.069	186,407	-
Pass-Through State Department of Public Health:				
COVID-19 Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC)	93.323	6NU50CK000539-01-08 DHHS-CDC	215,088	-
Pass-Through State Department of Community Services and Development:				
Community Services Block Grant	93.569	24F-4001	167,669	49,639
Community Services Block Grant - DISC	93.569	24F-4001 (Disc)	26,000	26,000
Community Services Block Grant	93.569	25F-3001	156,711	129,701
		Subtotal ALN 93.569	350,380	205,340
Pass-Through Essential Access Health:				
Family Planning Services	93.217	412-5320-2456-200-22.3-24-25	76,404	-
Pass- Through County of Alameda Area Agency on Aging:				
Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part E - Family Caregiver	93.052	900161	36,706	-
See accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards				

City of Berkeley
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
For the year ended June 30, 2025

Grantor Agency and Grant Title	Assistance Listing Number	Federal Award/ Pass-through Number	Federal Expenditures	Subrecipient Payments
Pass-Through State Department of Health Care Services (and Advocates for Human Potential Inc.):				
Crisis Care Mobile Unit Program	93.959	7460-CA Mobile Crisis-Berkeley-01	402,968	-
Pass-Through State Department of Health Services:				
Childhood Immunization Grants	93.268	22-11066	267,228	-
Nutrition Education	93.945	23-10306	162,653	-
COVID-19 Public Health Workforce Supplemental Funding	93.354	6 NU90TP922071-01-02	209,710	-
Tuberculosis - Real Time Allotment	93.116	2365R TA00	33,627	-
Pass-Through State Department of Public Health:				
California Equitable Recovery Initiative	93.391	CERI-21-23-05	7,060	-
California Strengthening Public Health Initiative	93.967	CASPHI0003	130,186	-
Disease Intervention Specialist Workforce Development	93.977	21-10546	89,514	-
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			2,852,646	205,340
U.S. Department of Homeland Security:				
Pass-Through California Governor's Office of Emergency Service				
Hazard Mitigation Grant - Retrofit for Hazardous Buildings	97.039	4344-26R-0-82-1-115	899,285	704,922
Hazard Mitigation Grant - Retrofit for Seismically Vulnerable Buildings	97.039	4558-69-50R	20,032	-
		Subtotal ALN 97.039	919,317	704,922
Direct Programs:				
Assistance to Firefighters	97.044	EMW-2022-FG-00868	397,725	-
Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response	97.083	EMW-2023-FF-00419	814,254	-
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			2,131,296	704,922
Total Federal Expenditures			\$ 25,493,851	\$ 2,237,437

City of Berkeley
Single Audit Report
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
For the year ended June 30, 2025

1. REPORTING ENTITY

The financial reporting entity, as defined by Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), consists of the primary government, which is the City of Berkeley (City), organizations for which the primary government is financially accountable, and other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity’s financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. The City of Berkeley Rent Stabilization Board is the only component unit of the City.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Accounting

Funds received under the various grant programs have been recorded within the general, special revenue, capital projects, and enterprise funds of the City. The City utilizes the modified accrual basis of accounting for the general, special revenue, and capital project funds. The accrual basis of accounting is used for the enterprise fund. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Schedule) is presented in accordance with the requirements of the *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance).

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

The accompanying Schedule presents the activity of all Federal financial assistance programs of the City. Federal financial assistance received directly from Federal agencies as well as Federal financial assistance passed through the State of California and other agencies are included in the Schedule. The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards was prepared from only the accounts of various grant programs and, therefore, does not present the financial position or results of operations of the City.

3. INDIRECT COSTS

The City did not elect to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate.

4. CALCULATION OF FEDERAL EXPENDITURES - ALN 11.307

Federal expenditures for the Business Economic Development Administration Revolving Loan Fund (ALN 11.307) were calculated as follows per program requirements:

	<u>07-79-07605</u>
Balance of RLF principal outstanding on loans at the end of the recipient’s fiscal year	\$ 373,016
Cash and investment balance in the RLF at the end of the recipient’s fiscal year	222,123
Administrative expenses paid out of RLF income during the recipient’s fiscal year	18,258
Loans forgiven	<u>6,841</u>
	620,238
The Federal share of the RLF.	<u>100%</u>
Federal expenditures for FY2024-25	<u><u>\$ 620,238</u></u>

City of Berkeley
Single Audit Report
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
For the year ended June 30, 2025

Section I - Summary of Auditors' Results

Financial Statements

Types of auditors' report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weakness(es) identified? No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? None noted

Any noncompliance material to the financial statements noted: No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weakness(es) identified? No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? None noted

Types of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 200.516(a): No

Identification of major programs:

Assistance Listing		
Number	Name of Federal Program or Cluster	Expenditures
10.557	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children	\$ 796,865
14.267	Continuum of Care Program	7,176,834
97.044	Assistance to Firefighters	397,725
97.083	Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response	814,254
Total Expenditures of All Major Federal Programs		\$ 9,185,678
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards		\$ 25,493,851
Percentage of Total Expenditures of Federal Awards		36.0%

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B program: \$764,816

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee under section 200.520? Yes

City of Berkeley
Single Audit Report
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued
For the year ended June 30, 2025

Section II –Current Year Findings

A. Current Year Findings – Financial Statement Audit

No findings in the current year.

B. Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs – Major Federal Award Program Audit

No findings in the current year.

Section III- Prior Year Findings

A. Prior Year Findings – Financial Statement Audit

No prior year financial statement audit findings.

B. Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs – Major Federal Award Program Audit

2022-001- Tri-Partite Board Compliance

Program:

Community Services Block Grant (CSBG), Assistance Listing Number 93.569, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Passed through State of California, Department of Community Services and Development, Contract 21F-4001, 22F-5001, and 20F-3640.

Criteria:

The CSBG Act at 42 USC 9910(b), requires that public organizations administer CSBG through a Tri-Partite board. This board shall have members selected by the organization and shall be composed so as to assure that no less than one-third (1/3) of the members are chosen in accordance with democratic selection procedures adequate to assure that these members are (1) representative of low-income individuals and families served in the neighborhood served, (2) reside the neighborhood served, and (3) are able to actively participate in the development, planning, implementation, and evaluation of the programs funded by CSBG.

Condition:

During the audit of the program, we noted the City had board member vacancies during the year that resulted in noncompliance with the required board composition requirements.

Cause:

The City encountered challenges in filling board vacancies including COVID-19.

Effect:

The City is not in compliance with the Tri-Partite Board requirements.

Questioned Costs:

None

City of Berkeley
Single Audit Report
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued
For the year ended June 30, 2025

Section III- Prior Year Findings, Continued

2022-001- Tri-Partite Board Compliance, Continued

Repeat Finding:

This is not a repeat finding.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the City update policies and procedures over the Tri-Partite Board to comply with the composition requirements of the CSBG Act.

Management Response:

The City is currently working with the State of California Department of Community Services and Development to comply with the Tri-Partite Board requirements.

Status:

Implemented.

This page intentionally left blank.

SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULES

CITY OF BERKELEY
COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY
SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT OF REVENUE AND EXPENDITURES
CFDA 93.569
CSBG CONTRACT 24F-3001
FOR THE PERIOD January 1, 2024 THROUGH May 1, 2025
Grant Award Thru December 31, 2024 (extended to May 1, 2025)

	January 1, 2024 through June 30, 2024	July 1, 2024 through December 31, 2024	January 1, 2025 through May 1, 2025	Total Audited Cost	Total Reported Expenses	Total Budget
REVENUE						
Grant Revenue	133,630	72,705	94,964	301,299		301,299
Total Revenue	133,630	72,705	94,964	301,299		301,299
EXPENDITURES						
Personnel Costs						
Salaries & Wages	12,647	30,404	43,029	86,080	86,080	86,080
Fringe Benefits	10,622	14,065	28,621	53,308	53,308	53,308
Sub-total Personnel Costs	23,269	44,469	71,650	139,388	139,388	139,388
Non-personnel Costs						
Subcontractors	110,361	28,236	21,403	160,000	160,000	160,000
Other Cost	-	-	1,911	1,911	1,911	1,911
Sub-total Non-personnel Costs	110,361	28,236	23,314	161,911	161,911	161,911
Total Costs	133,630	72,705	94,964	301,299	301,299	301,299
Revenue over (under) costs	-	-	-	-		

CITY OF BERKELEY
COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY
SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT OF REVENUE AND EXPENDITURES
CFDA 93.569
CSBG CONTRACT 24F-3001 (Discretionary)
FOR THE PERIOD January 1, 2024 THROUGH May 1, 2025
Grant Award Thru December 31, 2024 (extended to May 1, 2025)

	January 1, 2024 through June 30, 2024	July 1, 2024 through December 31, 2024	January 1, 2025 through May 1, 2025	Total Audited Cost	Total Reported Expenses	Total Budget
REVENUE						
Grant Revenue	-	-	26,000	26,000		26,000
Total Revenue	-	-	26,000	26,000		26,000
EXPENDITURES						
Personnel Costs						
Salaries & Wages	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fringe Benefits	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sub-total Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non-personnel Costs						
Subcontractors	-	-	26,000	26,000	26,000	26,000
Other Cost	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sub-total Non-personnel Costs	-	-	26,000	26,000	26,000	26,000
Total Costs	-	-	26,000	26,000	26,000	26,000
Revenue over (under) costs	-	-	-	-	-	-