



# RRV/City Council Referral Process

June 11, 2019

Dee Williams-Ridley, City Manager

Mark Numainville, City Clerk

# Today's Presentation

- Review of Re-Weighted Range Voting (RRV) Process
- 2019 Prioritized List
- Action to Take Today



# What's New

- Use of ServiceNow for tracking and ranking the referrals.
  - Increases automation of process for Council and staff
  - Increases security/integrity of data
  - Allows for better project tracking by staff
- Monthly Updates of Short Term and Date-Certain items
  - Started in July 2018

# Previous Process

- Adopted by Council vote
- Referred to Department
- Taken up on an ad hoc basis, roughly in the order they were adopted
- No official list
- No prioritization

# Reweight Range Voting (RRV)

How it works:

- Each Councilmember rates every referral on a scale of 0-5 (0 being the least support and five being the most support) using the ServiceNow RRV Portal.
- There is no limit to repeat scores (i.e. giving every referral a 5)
- The scores are tallied, and the referral with the highest total score becomes 1<sup>st</sup> priority.
- Then, for the remaining referrals, the scores are reweighted based on how much influence each CM has had up to that point. This guarantees equal influence over the long run.

# How the Current Process Works

- Council adopts the referral
- Staff categorizes the referral
  - Long Term (more than 90 days to respond)
  - Short Term (less than 90 days to respond)
  - Urgent (health and safety, deadline-based funding)
- Staff adds Long Term to the list
- Staff starts work on Short Term and Urgent referrals
- Council rates the Long Term list annually using RRV
- Staff addresses the referrals in the order of Council priority
- Completed Referrals reported back through Council Agenda or Off-Agenda Memo
- Interim update report issued in November

# Summary Statistics

- Total Referrals on List: 177
  - Not included: Housing Action Plan: 11; Fire and OES: 4
- Referrals completed: 74 (Attachment 4)
- Referrals started: 85 (Attachment 5)
- Referrals added from May 1, 2018 – April 30, 2019: 38
  - 59 were added in the previous cycle
- Total Number of Short Term Referrals (since 2016): 91
  - 66 are completed

# The Data

- Attachment 1, Exhibit A – The final rankings. This list is the result of taking the raw scores, starting with the highest score, then going through the repeating process of assigning a priority number and reweighting the list.
- Attachment 2 – The raw scores assigned to each referral by all Councilmembers. Where no rating was assigned, the default score is zero.
- Attachment 3 – Referrals that were marked by the sponsoring Councilmember as eligible to be removed from the list. Council will vote tonight on whether to remove these items.
- Attachment 4 – Completed Referrals
- Attachment 5 – Started Referrals
- Attachment 6 – Short Term Referrals with Status

# The Results – Top 25

**Planning (11)**  
**Public Works (5)**  
**City Manager (4)**  
**OED (3)**  
**HHCS (1)**  
**HR (1)**

# Addressing the Referrals

- Certain Departments are Over-Represented
- Capacity and Workload
- Some Discretion for City Manager in Assigning Referrals
- Every Department Will Address Their Top Rated Referral

# Action for This Meeting

- Review the List (no changes)
- Vote to remove referrals (Att. 3)
  - Additional suggestions in staff report
  - Additional suggestions in Harrison Supplemental
  - Supplemental from Councilmember Hahn
- Adopt the Resolution for the 2019 prioritization list (Att. 1)



Questions?